Slim SUSY

J. Lorenzo Diaz Cruz

FCFM-BUAP (Puebla, Mexico) [1]

SCALARS 2013

September 15, 2013

[1] Also at CINVESTAV-IPN (DF)

[2]

[2] Work with E. Arganda and A. Synkman (EJP-2013, PLB-2013)

[3]

[3]Work with E. Arganda and A. Synkman (EJP-2013, PLB-2013)

Symmetry and Unification

A powerfull method to search for beauty and truth in nature



Could there more symmetries realized in nature?

Open problems in the SM

- Hierarchy problem,
- Neutrino masses and flavor problem,
- Strong CP problem,
- Dark Matter,
- BAU
- Cosmological constant (Dark energy),
- SM Parameters and its Structure,

Supersymmetry could be next stage!

Open problems in the SM and SUSY

- Hierarchy problem ($\rightarrow$ SUSY),
- Unification ($\rightarrow$ SUSY)
- Neutrino masses and flavor problem,
- Strong CP problem,
- Dark Matter ($\rightarrow$ SUSY),
- BAU
- Cosmological constant (Dark energy),
- SM Parameters, Structure and other Aesthetical questions,,

But, how is SUSY realized in nature? We do not know yet...

The problem is that LHC is confirming the SM:



The LHC and the Higgs signal



New scalar Profile

- Looks like SM CP-even Higgs state: $S = 0, T = \frac{1}{2}, Y = 1,$
- With SM-like Higgs couplings: $hVV, hbb, h\tau\tau, htt/hgg, h\gamma\gamma$ [4]





Couplings with light fermions are very difficult to test (e-mu conversion/DM-nucleus scattering).

[4] a) Ellis et al, b) Falkowski et al.

LHC limits on new Z-prime



Lessons from the LHC

- At current energies SM is being confirmed,
- No signs of new physics, yet,
  Be patient $\rightarrow$ Nature has to be natural,
- But some are starting to get impatient,
  $\rightarrow$ Multiverse - Only one light scalar in nature:
  A finely tunned SM Higgs (as it is Λ_C),
  $\rightarrow$ Split/Spread/... SUSY

But this may be a bit too radical

Our proposal (Slim SUSY):

Heavy Higgs bosons of the MSSM ($H, A, H^{\pm}$) have $M \simeq$ O(EW Scale)

(one Scalar has been detected... may be more will come!)

The MSSM

The minimal extension of the SM consistent with SUSY, is based on:

- SM Gauge Group ($\rightarrow$ gauge bosons and gauginos),
- 3 families of fermions and sfermions,
- Two Higgs doublets (H_u and H_d),
- Soft-breaking of SUSY (Hidden sector),
- R-parity distinguish SM and their superpartners
  $\rightarrow$ LSP is stable and DM candidate.

The MSSM particle content

	SM	Superpartners
SM Bosons	$W^{\pm}, Z, \gamma$ gluon Higgs bosons	Wino,Zino, Photino gluino Higgsinos
SM Fermions	quarks leptons neutrinos	squarks sleptons sneutrinos

Mixing of gauginos and Higgsinos $\rightarrow$
Charginos ($\chi_i^{\pm}$, $i = 1, 2$) and Neutralinos (χ_j^0, $j = 1, 4$),

Gravitino is also part of the spectrum.

The MSSM Higgs sector

2HDM of type-II (at tree-level) $\rightarrow h^0, H^0, A^0, H^\pm$,

- CP-even neutral Higgs bosons h^0, H^0, at tree-level $m_h < m_Z$,
- CP-odd neutral Higgs A^0 with $m_H^2 = m_A^2 + m_Z^2 \sin^2 2\beta$,
- Charged Higgs $H^\pm$, with $m_{H^+}^2 = m_A^2 + m_W^2$,
- Masses and mixing angles fixed with: m_A and $tan\beta = v_2/v_1$,
- When $m_A \leq \tilde{m}$, Higgs searches uses SM techniques.
- $H^0, A^0, H^\pm$ decays into SUSY modes may be allowed, but more constrained,

The MSSM Higgs mass

Radiative effects of Stop-top loops can make: $m_h > m_Z$; simple one-loop approx.:

$$m_h^2 = [m_Z^2 \cos^2 2\beta + \epsilon \sin^2 \beta] \tag{1}$$

where

$$\epsilon = \frac{3m_t^2}{2\pi^2 \sin^2 \beta}[log(\frac{m_S^2}{m_t^2}) + \frac{X_t^2}{m_S^2}(1 - \frac{X_t^2}{12m_S^2}] \tag{2}$$

$X_t = A_t - \mu \cot \beta$, $m_S^2 = m_1 m_2$

Thus, to get $m_h = 125 - 126$ GeV need:

- Large masses for 3rd family squarks, of $m_S = O(\text{TeV})$, or
- Large X_t-terms,
- A milder combination of the above.

The MSSM with $m_h = 125$ GeV

Three options for parameter space:

- Look for small corners of the more traditional MSSM
  $\rightarrow$ Constrained (cMSSM) or phenomenological (pMSSM),
- MSSM with heavy sfermions arising within quasi-natural models
  $\rightarrow$ More minimal MSSM, Natural SUSY, String based models,...
- Assume Heavy scalars, except for a fine tunnned SM-like Higgs
  $\rightarrow$ Split SUSY, Spread SUSY, High Scale SUSY,...

MSSM Higgs mass (A. Djouadi et al.)



MSSM Higgs mass (Giudice and Strumia)



Recent limits on SUSY from LHC





String-based (Nilles et al)



Figure 3: Particle spectra for the benchmark points BP1 (left) and BP2 (right).

SUSY spectrum (Kane et al.)



Split-Spread (Hall, Nomura, Shirai) /Mini-split spectrum (Kahn,McCullough,Thaler)



Typical spectrum of Spread Supersymmetry with wino LSP.



Sfermions and Higgs spectrum

- In Split SUSY and its variants, all scalars are assumed to be quite heavy, except for a finely-tunned higgs boson (with $m_h = 125$ GeV),
- Heavy squarks/sleptons offer the possibility to solve SUSY CP and FCNC problems by DECOUPLING,
- But, regarding the flavor problem, Higgs bosons are harmless, and could be much lighter than squarks and sleptons,
  "all scalars are equal...but some scalars are more equal"
- Heavy Higgs particles at the reach of LHC could be used as a test of natural vs anthropic arguments,

$\rightarrow$ Our proposal: SLIM SUSY

Slim SUSY- definitions

- O(10) TeV sfermions of 3rd family (to account for $m_h = 125$ GeV)
- O(100) TeV sfermions of 1st,2nd family (to solve SUSY CP and Flavor problems,)
- Full Higgs spectrum near EW scale (at the reach of LHC),
- Minimal Chargino/Neutralino sector at EW scale (Wino or Higgsino DM, but not pure bino)
- No colored sparticles at LHC reach (Our prediction),

SUSY breaking and SLIM Spectrum

An effective description of SUSY breaking involves the chiral supermultiplet S, charged under some symmetry (Wells, PeV SUSY).

$$S = s + \sqrt{2}\psi\theta + F_S\theta^2 \,, \tag{3}$$

whose nonzero F_S component is the source of supersymmetry breaking. The scalar masses are generated at tree-level by

$$\int d^2\theta d^2\bar{\theta}\, c_i \,\frac{S^\dagger S}{M_{\rm Pl}^2}\Phi_i^\dagger \Phi_i \rightarrow c_i \frac{F_S^\dagger F_S}{M_{\rm Pl}^2}\phi_i^* \phi_i \,, \tag{4}$$

Therefore, one obtains $m_0 \simeq c_i\, m_{3/2}$ with $m_{3/2}^2 = \langle F_S^\dagger F_S \rangle / M_{\rm Pl}^2$.

Gaugino masses would arise from the anomaly mediation:

$$M_{\lambda_a} = \frac{\beta(g_a)}{g_a} m_{3/2} \,, \tag{5}$$

125 Higgs mass in SLIM SUSY

The parameters are varied within the following range, and we only select points that satisfy current direct bounds on SUSY masses.

- $1 < \tan\beta < 60$.
- -3 TeV $< M_1, M_2, \mu < 3$ TeV
- 1 TeV $< M_3 < 3$ TeV.
- 200 GeV $< m_{A^0} < 600$ GeV.
- 10 TeV $< M_S < 100$ TeV.
- 1 TeV $< m_s < 7.5$ TeV.

Spectrum of SLIM SUSY

In order to obtain SLIM spectrum, for $M_{3/2} \simeq 100$ TeV, assume: $c_{H_u} \simeq c_{H_d} = \mathcal{O}(10^{-2})$, $c_{Q_3} \simeq c_{U_3} \simeq c_{D_3} = \mathcal{O}(.1)$ and $c_{Q_{1,2}} \simeq c_{U_{1,2}} \simeq c_{D_{1,2}} = \mathcal{O}(1)$.



125 Higgs mass in SLIM SUSY



Higgs couplings in SLIM SUSY

$$R_{XX} = \frac{\sigma(pp \to h + Y)}{\sigma(pp \to h_{sm} + Y)} \frac{B.R.(h \to XX)}{B.R.(h_{sm} \to XX)} \tag{6}$$



Search for heavy Higgs bosons -Scenarios

Defined according to the nature of LSP (and number of extra neutralinos and charginos), (at the reach of LHC):

- **Bino-like LSP Scenario** $\rightarrow$ Only one bino-like neutralino at the EW scale; in this case: $|M_1| \ll |M_2|, |\mu|$.
- **Wino-like LSP Scenario** $\rightarrow$ One wino-like neutralino and one wino-like chargino, which occurs for $|M_2| \ll |M_1|, |\mu|$.
- **Higgsino-like LSP Scenario** $\rightarrow$ Two higgsino-like neutralinos and one higgsino-like chargino, in this case: $|\mu| \ll |M_1|, |M_2|$.

For some range of parameters could have $H, A \rightarrow \chi\chi$ $\rightarrow$ interesting signals at LHC.

Heavy Higgs decays (Bino-like LSP)





Heavy Higgs decays



Heavy Higgs decays



Heavy Higgs signal in ZZ channel



Searching for heavy Higgs bosons at LHC

A. Djouadi and J. Quevillon (arXiv:1304.1787 [hep-ph]), A. Arbey, M. Battaglia and F. Mahmoudi (arXiv:1303.7450 [hep-ph]), H. Baer, V. Barger, P. Huang, D. Mickelson, A. Mustafayev and X. Tata (arXiv:1212.2655 [hep-ph]), Y. Kahn, M. McCullough and J. Thaler (arXiv:1308.3490 [hep-ph]), G. Barenboim, C. Bosch, M. L. Lpez-Ibaez and O. Vives (arXiv:1307.5973 [hep-ph]), A. Djouadi, L. Maiani, G. Moreau, A. Polosa, J. Quevillon and V. Riquer (arXiv:1307.5205 [hep-ph]), T. Han, T. Li, S. Su and L. -T. Wang (arXiv:1306.3229 [hep-ph]), N. Craig, J. Galloway and S. Thomas (arXiv:1305.2424 [hep-ph]).

Search for heavy Higgses at LHC [5]





[5] Djouadi and Queveillon

LFV Higgs decays: $H, A \to \tau\mu$ [6]





[6] Diaz-Cruz et al (PRD-2000,PLB-2009), ...Arana, Arganda, Herrero (2013)

Conclusions.

- Heavy Higgs bosons at the LHC reach are signal of SLIM SUSY (vs Split/Spread SUSY),
- Decays $H \to hh$, $A \to Zh$ have interesting signature; rate may be large enough for $\tan\beta \leq 10$,
- Decays $H(A) \to \chi_1^0 \chi_1^0$, $H(A) \to \chi_1^+ \chi_1^-$ are also interesting to look at,
- LFV Higgs decays,
- Only a few superpartners could be at the reach of LHC,

Is SUSY near a catastrophe?

SUSY Savers



Scalars and new physics

Extra scalar singlets, doublets, triplets, have been studied in connection with Physics BSM:

- 2HDM (I,II,III-Tx,X,Y, Inert),
- 2HDM within MSSM context (SUSY) ,
- New Scalars with lepton number (ex. sleptons),
- Colored scalars (ex. squarks),
- Singlets and Triplets for neutrino masses,
- Triplets and bi-doublets within LRSM,
- etc., etc.

Is the Higgs something artificial?

Spin (S) and Isospin (T)

T / S	0	1/2	1	3/2	2
0	?	Neutrinos-R	gluon	?	?
1/2	Higgs	electron quarks	?	?	?
1	?	?	W, Z	?	?

$$Q_{em} = T_3 + Y \tag{7}$$

The Hierachy problem

When an scalar interacts with a heavy fermion M, with $L_Y = y\bar{\Psi}\Psi\phi$, and UV cutoff Λ, the scalar mass gets corrected, i.e.

$$m_h^2 = m_0^2 + \frac{y^2}{16\pi^2}[c_1\Lambda^2 + c_2 m_0^2 ln\frac{\Lambda}{m} + M^2] \tag{8}$$

Some solutions:

- Accidental cancelacion (NO LONGER WORKS!) ,

$$\lambda = y_t^2 - \frac{1}{8}[3g^2 + g'^2] \tag{9}$$

  ($\to m_h \simeq 200$ GeV,)
- Composite Higgs (as in QCD!),
- Cancelation between boson-fermion loops ($\to$ SUSY),
- Higgs is part of $D-dim$ vector field: $A_M = (A_\mu, A_i)$,

Beyond the SM: models that solve something

- Models with Grand Unification (ex. $SU(5), SO(10), E_6$,..)
- Models with new symmetries (SUSY),
- Models with extra dimensions extra.
- etc.

But the prize for the solution, is that these models bring their own problems

Beyond the SM: models "what if"

- Models with new fermions (4ta family, etc)
- Models with new gauge forces ($U(1)'$, Left-Right, ..)
- Models with extra Higgs multiplets (2HDM, triplets,..)
- etc.

Arkani-Hamed/Dimopoulos:
Theories should be consistentes, Theoreticians... not necesarily

Modern view of Physics BSM - Extra Dimensions

- Bosonic XD: $x^\mu \to X^M = (x^\mu, x^i)$,
- Curved Extra dimensions (RS):



- Fermionic/Quantum XD:
  $x^\mu \to z^M = (x^\mu, \theta, \bar{\theta})$ (Superspace) $\to$ Supersymetry!

Conclusions

"The goal is to find one, two, three ...more Higgs bosons at LHC"

Supersymmetry (SUSY)

Why is SUSY attractive? (Standard lore)

- It is a new simmetry that relates fermions and bosons,
- Offers the possibility to stabilize the Higgs mass and EWSB,
- Improves Unification and o.k. with proton decay,
- Favors a light Higgs boson, in agreement with EWPT (and LHC?), i.e. $m_h \leq 160$ GeV,
- New sources of flavor and CP violation may help to get the right BAU,
- LSP is stable and a possible Dark matter candidate.

The parameters of the MSSM

In addition to SM parameters, the MSSM includes O(100) new ones:

- Scalar masses (Sleptons, squarks, Higgs),
- Gaugino masses ($\tilde{M}_G, \tilde{M}_W, \tilde{M}_B$),
- Trilinear terms ($A_{\tilde{f}}$ for squarks and sleptons),
- From Higgs sector: $\tan\beta = v_2/v_1$ and μ,
- The masses of superpartners have important implications for EWSB,
- Spectrum of superpartners depends on mechanism of SUSY breaking,

Constraints on MSSM parameters

SUSY parameters must satisfy:

- Correct EWSB (radiative), (i.e. get right value of m_Z!)
- LHC limits on Higgs mass ($m_h = 125$ GeV?),
- LHC (Tevatron) limits on superpartners,
- Bounds on Flavor signals
  ($K - K$ mixing, $b \to s + \gamma$, $B \to \tau\nu$, $B_s \to \mu\mu$...etc.)
- Implications for cosmology (e.g. Relic density of DM),

Simplified models arise for specific SUSY breaking (and mediation) mechanisms,

CMSSM

To get MSSM parameters at TeV scale, one derive them from their values at high scale (SUGRA/GUT) through RGE,

$\rightarrow$ CMSSM = Constrained Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model.
In the CMSSM one takes (at M_{pl}):

- Universal scalar masses ($=\tilde{m}_0$)
- Universal gaugino masses ($=\tilde{m}_{1/2}$)
- Universal trilinear terms ($=A_0$)
- Also $\tan\beta = v_2/v_1$ and $sgn(mu)$.

MSSM Higgs couplings:

- (hVV) : $\frac{2m_V^2}{v}\cos(\beta-\alpha), \quad v^2 = v_1^2 + v_2^2,$
- (huu) : $\frac{m_u}{v}(\frac{\cos\alpha}{\sin\beta}),$
- (hdd) : $\frac{m_d}{v}(\frac{\sin\alpha}{\cos\beta}),$
- (hll) : $\frac{m_l}{v}(\frac{\sin\alpha}{\cos\beta}),$
- (hhh) : $\simeq \lambda v, \quad \lambda = \frac{g^2+g'^2}{8},$
- $(hhhh)$: $\simeq \lambda.$

Similar expressions hold for H^0, A^0 and $H^{\pm}$.

EWSB in the MSSM- problems

- EWSB gives a relation between the Z-mass, the soft-Higgs masses and the mu-term (at tree-level):

$$M_Z^2 = 2c_1 M_{H_u}^2 - 2t_\beta^2 M_{H_d}^2 - 2\mu^2 \tag{10}$$

with $c_1 = 1/(t_\beta^2 - 1)$,

- Thus, for a natural solution, SOFT terms should be of O(m_Z),
- But already LEP limits on superpartners ($\tilde{m} \geq 200$ GeV) ruled out such case,
- Including RGE and recent LHC limits make it worse (A. Strumia, ArXive:1101.2195 [hep-ph]):

$$M_Z^2 = 0.2m_0^2 + 0.7M_3^2 - 2\mu^2 \simeq (91GeV)^2 \times 50(\frac{M_3}{780})^2 + ... \tag{11}$$

- Thus, MSSM suffers already of some fine-tunning problem,

SUSY Phenomenology- LSP scenarios

With R-parity, LSP and NLSP nature determine the exp. search for SUSY,

- Production: SM+SM $\rightarrow$ SP+SP
- Some SP decays into NSP+ SM
- NSP decays into LSP+SM
- Neutralino LSP most widely studied,
- Gravitino LSP gives very different phenomenology,

SM Higgs Couplings

1) 

f=top

2) 

f=bottom

3) 

f=tau

4) 

5) 

6) 

SM Higgs Br's and CSx



Vacuum stability

RGE evolution of Higgs self-coupling: $m_h \simeq \lambda v$



What is the LSP?

- Most popular choice Neutralino LSP,
  - Higgsino-like, Bino-like, wino-like
- With $\chi_1^0 = LSP$, signal of SUSY is cascade decays and missing energy , e.g. $\chi_2^0 \to l^+ l^- + \chi_1^0$.
- Another possibility: sneutrino LSP,
  $\tilde{\nu}_L$ is not favored by direct DM search,
  But $\tilde{\nu}_R$ is still allowed by direct DM search.
- Still another option is: Gravitino (Ψ_μ) LSP,
- Within GMM $\Psi_\mu = LSP$ gives signals with photons from $\chi_1^0 \to \Psi_\mu + \gamma$.

MSSM Higgs and Dark matter

For heavy sfermions the DM relic density is:

$$\Omega_X h^2 = C_X (\frac{m_X}{TeV})^2 \tag{12}$$

- For DM $X =$ pure Bino, no aceptable solution,
- For DM $X = \tilde{H}$ pure Higgsino, $C_{\tilde{H}} = 0.09$ and an aceptable solution is otained for $1 < M_{\tilde{H}} < 1.2$ TeV,
- For DM $X = \tilde{W}$ pure Wino, $C_{\tilde{H}} = 0.02$ and an aceptable solution is otained for $2 < M_{\tilde{W}} < 2.5$ TeV,

In such case detection at LHC may be harder,

DM limits from LHC

Search for direct Dark Matter (DM) particles in pair-production



A single photon (150 GeV) or jet plus ETmiss







ATLAS-CONF-2012-085
arXiv:1210.4491v1[hep-ex]

CMS: Sub. to Phys. Rev. Lett.
arXiv:1204.0821v1[hep-ex]
arXiv:1206.5663[hep-ex]

CERN, 20-Nov-2012
P Jenni (CERN)

LHC experiments and results