

Scalars 2013, 11 - 16 Sept. 2013

Naoyuki Haba (Shimane U, Japan)

NH, K. Kaneta, R. Takahashi,

arXiv:1309.1231 [hep-ph] (arXiv:1309.3254 [hep-ph])

contents

§1 introduction

§2 next to new minimal standard model §3 summary

§1 introduction



•LHC shows no evidence of BSM.

no SUSY, no extraD,···



•LHC shows no evidence of BSM.

no SUSY, no extraD,···

•SM ($m_{H} \sim 126$ GeV, $m_{t} \sim 173$ GeV) can be a final theory?



LHC shows no evidence of BSM. no SUSY, no extraD,... SM (m_H~126GeV, m_t~173 GeV) can be a final theory? maybe No



 LHC shows no evidence of BSM. no SUSY, no extraD,...
 SM (m_H~126GeV, m_t~173 GeV) can be a final theory? maybe No, because LHC shows no evidence of BSM.
 no SUSY, no extraD,...
 SM (m_H~126GeV, m_t~173 GeV) can be a final theory?

maybe No, because

Problems in SM

- hierarchy problem
- dark matter
- ν mass, BAU
- inflation
- cosmological constant
- charge quantization (gauge coupling unification)
- strong CP

LHC shows no evidence of BSM.
 no SUSY, no extraD,...
 SM (m_H~126GeV, m_t~173 GeV) can be a final theory?

maybe No, because

Problems in SM

- hierarchy problem
- dark matter
- ν mass, BAU
- inflation
- cosmological constant
- charge quantization (gauge coupling unification)
- strong CP

let us reconsider various possibility of BSM once again, since we do not know the answer what is BSM.

• much better than cosmological constant terrible fine-tuning $\Lambda^4/Mp^4 \sim 10^{120}$

- much better than cosmological constant terrible fine-tuning $\Lambda^4/Mp^4 \sim 10^{120}$
- quadratic divergence is simply subtracted (b.c. @ M_P)?
 (physically meaningful value is distant from critical line & not location of critical line itself.)

- much better than cosmological constant terrible fine-tuning $\Lambda^4/Mp^4 \sim 10^{120}$
- quadratic divergence is simply subtracted (b.c. @ M_P)?
 (physically meaningful value is distant from critical line & not location of critical line itself.)
- $m_H \sim 126$ GeV, $m_{top} \sim 173$ GeV in the SM

 \rightarrow triple coincidence @ Mp

(M. Lindner's talk)

 $\mathbf{m}_{H} \propto [\Lambda - y_{1}^{2} + 3g_{2}^{2}/8 + g_{1}^{2}/8] \Lambda^{2} \sim 0 \text{ (Veltman condition)}^{\text{Froggah Nielsen (96)}} M.Shaposhnikov (07)$

 $\begin{cases} \beta_{\lambda} \sim [\lambda^{2} - \lambda (g_{1}^{2}/8 + 3g_{2}^{2}/8 - y_{1}^{2}/2) + g_{1}^{4}/64 + g_{1}^{2}g_{2}^{2}/32 + 3g_{2}^{4}/64 - y_{1}^{4}/4] \sim 0\\ \lambda \sim 0 \end{cases}$

- -> Higgs potential is flat @M_p (induced only radiative correction) (Higgs inflation)
- -> SUSY~10¹⁰GeV (I. Antoniadis' talk)

-> conformal sym? shift sym?



G.Degrassi, S.Di Vita, J.Elias-Miro, J.R.Espinosa, G.F.Giudice, G.Isidori and A.Strumia, JHEP1208 (2012) 098

- much better than cosmological constant terrible fine-tuning $\Lambda^4/Mp^4 \sim 10^{120}$
- quadratic divergence is simply subtracted (b.c. @ M_P)?
 (physically meaningful value is distant from critical line & not location of critical line itself.)
- $m_H \sim 126$ GeV, $m_{top} \sim 173$ GeV in the SM

 \rightarrow triple coincidence @ Mp

(M. Lindner's talk)

 $\mathbf{m}_{H} \propto [\Lambda - y_{1}^{2} + 3g_{2}^{2}/8 + g_{1}^{2}/8] \Lambda^{2} \sim 0 \text{ (Veltman condition)}^{\text{Froggah Nielsen (96)}} M.Shaposhnikov (07)$

 $\begin{cases} \beta_{\lambda} \sim [\lambda^{2} - \lambda (g_{1}^{2}/8 + 3g_{2}^{2}/8 - y_{1}^{2}/2) + g_{1}^{4}/64 + g_{1}^{2}g_{2}^{2}/32 + 3g_{2}^{4}/64 - y_{1}^{4}/4] \sim 0\\ \lambda \sim 0 \end{cases}$

- -> Higgs potential is flat @Mp (induced only radiative correction) (Higgs inflation)
- -> SUSY~10¹⁰GeV (I. Antoniadis' talk)
- -> conformal sym? shift sym?
- nature chooses fine-tuning?
 (CNO-cycle, size of sun-moon from earth...)



G.Degrassi, S.Di Vita, J.Elias-Miro, J.R.Espinosa, G.F.Giudice, G.Isidori and A.Strumia, JHEP1208 (2012) 098

- much better than cosmological constant terrible fine-tuning $\Lambda^4/Mp^4 \sim 10^{120}$
- quadratic divergence is simply subtracted (b.c. $@M_P$)? (physically meaningful value is distant from critical line & not location of critical line itself.)
- $m_H \sim 126 \text{ GeV}$, $m_{top} \sim 173 \text{ GeV}$ in the SM

 \rightarrow triple coincidence @ Mp

(M. Lindner's talk)

Froggah Nielsen (96) M.Shaposhnikov (07)

 $\begin{cases} m_{H} \propto [\lambda - y_{t}^{2} + 3g_{2}^{2}/8 + g_{1}^{2}/8] \Lambda^{2} \sim 0 \text{ (Veltman condition)} & \text{Froggah Nielsen} \\ \beta_{\lambda} \sim [\lambda^{2} - \lambda (g_{1}^{2}/8 + 3g_{2}^{2}/8 - y_{t}^{2}/2) + g_{1}^{4}/64 + g_{1}^{2}g_{2}^{2}/32 + 3g_{2}^{4}/64 - y_{t}^{4}/4] \sim 0 \\ \lambda \sim 0 & \text{Froggah Nielsen} \end{cases}$

- -> Higgs potential is flat @Mp (induced only radiative correction) (Higgs inflation)
- -> SUSY~10¹⁰GeV (I. Antoniadis' talk)
- -> conformal sym? shift sym?
- nature chooses fine-tuning? (CNO-cycle, size of sun-moon from earth...)
- multiverse? no fine-tuning problem (Y. Nomura's talk)



G.Degrassi, S.Di Vita, J.Elias-Miro, J.R.Espinosa, G.F.Giudice, G.Isidori and A.Strumia, JHEP1208 (2012) 098

- much better than cosmological constant terrible fine-tuning $\Lambda^4/Mp^4 \sim 10^{120}$
- quadratic divergence is simply subtracted (b.c. $@M_P$)? (physically meaningful value is distant from critical line & not location of critical line itself.)
- $m_H \sim 126 \text{ GeV}$, $m_{top} \sim 173 \text{ GeV}$ in the SM

 \rightarrow triple coincidence @ Mp

(M. Lindner's talk)

Froggah Nielsen (96) M.Shaposhnikov (07)

 $\begin{cases} m_{H} \propto [\lambda - y_{t}^{2} + 3g_{2}^{2}/8 + g_{1}^{2}/8] \Lambda^{2} \sim 0 \text{ (Veltman condition)} & \text{Froggah Nielsen} \\ \beta_{\lambda} \sim [\lambda^{2} - \lambda (g_{1}^{2}/8 + 3g_{2}^{2}/8 - y_{t}^{2}/2) + g_{1}^{4}/64 + g_{1}^{2}g_{2}^{2}/32 + 3g_{2}^{4}/64 - y_{t}^{4}/4] \sim 0 \\ \lambda \sim 0 & \text{Froggah Nielsen} \end{cases}$

- -> Higgs potential is flat @Mp (induced only radiative correction) (Higgs inflation)
- -> SUSY~10¹⁰GeV (I. Antoniadis' talk)
- -> conformal sym? shift sym?
- nature chooses fine-tuning? (CNO-cycle, size of sun-moon from earth...)
- multiverse? no fine-tuning problem (Y. Nomura's talk)

= 126 GeV (dashed) $M_h = 124 \text{ GeV} (\text{dotted})$ $M_t = 171.0 \text{ GeV}$ 0.10 Higgs quartic coupling $\lambda(\mu)$ $\alpha_s(M_Z) = 0.1184$ $\lambda_{eff} = 4V/h^4$ 0.05 λ in MS 0.00 -0.051010 1012 1014 1016 1018 1020 10^{4} 106 10^{8} RGE scale μ or h vev in GeV

G.Degrassi, S.Di Vita, J.Elias-Miro, J.R.Espinosa, G.F.Giudice, G.Isidori and A.Strumia, JHEP1208 (2012) 098

"hierarchy problem" is really a problem?

Forgetting hierarchy problem,

Problems in SM

- hierarchy problem
- dark matter
- ν mass, BAU
- inflation
- cosmological constant
- charge quantization (gauge coupling unification)
 strong CP
 - •

by introducing minimal new fields (& parameters)

Problems in SM

- hierarchy problem
- dark matter
- ν mass, BAU
- inflation
- cosmological constant
- charge quantization (gauge coupling unification)
 strong CP
 - •

by introducing minimal new fields (& parameters)

New Minimal SM

Forgetting hierarchy problem, let us try to explain other



hierarchy problem

 $L_{DM} = -m_{S}^{2}S^{2} - k \mid H \mid^{2} S^{2} - \lambda_{S}S^{4}$

- dark matter \rightarrow introduce real scalar DM
 - ν mass, BAU
 - inflation
 - cosmological constant
 - charge quantization (gauge coupling unification)
 strong CP
 - •

by introducing minimal new fields (& parameters)

New Minimal SM

Problems in SM

- hierarchy problem
- dark matter \rightarrow introduce real scale $L_{vR} = -MN^cN + y_v L\widetilde{H}\overline{N}$
- () ν mass, BAU \rightarrow introduce two ν_R & leptogenesis
 - inflation
 - cosmological constant
 - charge quantization (gauge coupling unification)
 strong CP
 - •

by introducing minimal new fields (& parameters)

New Minimal SM



by introducing minimal new fields (& parameters)

New Minimal SM



•

by introducing minimal new fields (& parameters)

New Minimal SM

§2 next to new minimal standard model



Forgetting hierarchy problem, let us focus on solving other problems



•

by introducing minimal new fields (& parameters)

New Minimal SM

Forgetting hierarchy problem, let us focus on solving other problems



by introducing minimal new fields (& parameters)

Forgetting hierarchy problem, let us focus on solving other problems



by introducing minimal new fields (& parameters)





New Minimal SM:

$$L_{NMSM} = L_{SM} + L_{DM} + L_{vR} + L_{Infration} + L_{CC}$$

$$L_{SM} \supset -\lambda(|H|^2 - v^2)^2$$

$$L_{DM} = -m_s^2 S^2 - k |H|^2 S^2 - \lambda_s S^4$$

$$L_{vR} = -M \overline{N^c} N + y_v L \widetilde{H} \overline{N}$$

$$L_{Infration} = -m^2 \varphi^2 - \mu \varphi^3 - \kappa \varphi^4$$

$$L_{CC} = (2.3 \times 10^{-3} eV)^4$$

+h.c. + Kinetic terms

$$\begin{split} L_{NNMSM} &= L_{SM} + L_{DM} + L_{vR} + L_{Infration} + L_{CC} + \underline{L_{GCU}} \\ L_{SM} \supset -\lambda (|H|^2 - v^2)^2 \\ L_{DM} &= -m_s^2 S^2 - k |H|^2 S^2 - \lambda_s S^4 \\ L_{vR} &= -M \overline{N^c} N + y_v L \widetilde{H} \overline{N} \\ L_{Infration} &= -B \varphi^4 \bigg[\ln \bigg(\frac{\varphi^2}{\sigma^2} \bigg) - \frac{1}{2} \bigg] - B \sigma^4 - \mu_1 \varphi |H|^2 - \kappa_H \varphi^2 |H|^2 - \kappa_S \varphi^2 S^2 \\ L_{CC} &= (2.3 \times 10^{-3} eV)^4 \\ &+ \text{h.c. + Kinetic terms} \\ \underline{L_{GCU}} &= M_3 \lambda_3^2 + M_2 \lambda_2^2 + M_{L_i} \overline{L_i} L_i + y_L L \widetilde{H} E + y_L \overline{L}^{\dagger} H^{\dagger} E \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} L_{NNMSM} &= L_{SM} + L_{DM} + L_{vR} + L_{Infration} + L_{CC} + \underline{L}_{GCU} \\ L_{SM} \supset -\lambda (|H|^2 - v^2)^2 \\ L_{DM} &= -m_s^2 S^2 - k |H|^2 S^2 - \lambda_s S^4 \\ L_{vR} &= -M \overline{N^c} N + y_v L \widetilde{H} \overline{N} \\ L_{Infration} &= -B \varphi^4 \bigg[\ln \bigg(\frac{\varphi^2}{\sigma^2} \bigg) - \frac{1}{2} \bigg] - B \sigma^4 - \mu_1 \varphi |H|^2 - \kappa_H \varphi^2 |H|^2 - \kappa_S \varphi^2 S^2 \\ L_{CC} &= (2.3 \times 10^{-3} eV)^4 \\ &\quad + \text{h.c. + Kinetic terms} \\ L_{GCU} &= M_3 \lambda_3^2 + M_2 \lambda_2^2 + M_{L_i} \overline{L_i} L_i + y_L \widetilde{H} \overline{E} + y_L \overline{L}^{\dagger} H^{\dagger} E \end{split}$$
SU(3) adjoint SU(2) adjoint fermion Inth vector-like Lepton doublets

$$\begin{split} L_{NNMSM} &= L_{SM} + L_{DM} + L_{vR} + L_{Infration} + L_{CC} + L_{GCU} \\ L_{SM} \supset -\lambda (|H|^2 - v^2)^2 & \text{introduce } \mathbb{Z}_2\text{-sym,} \\ L_{DM} &= -m_1 S^2 - k |H|^2 S^2 - \lambda S^4 & \text{odd: S} \\ L_{vR} &= -M N^c N + y_v L \widetilde{H} \overline{N} \\ L_{Infration} &= -B \varphi^4 \bigg[\ln \bigg(\frac{\varphi^2}{\sigma^2} \bigg) - \frac{1}{2} \bigg] - B \sigma^4 - \mu_1 \varphi |H|^2 - \kappa_H \varphi^2 |H|^2 - \kappa_S \varphi^2 S^2 \\ L_{CC} &= (2.3 \times 10^{-3} eV)^4 & \text{+h.c. + Kinetic terms} \\ L_{GCU} &= M_3 \lambda_3^2 + M_2 \lambda_2^2 + M_{L_i} \overline{L_i} L_i + y_L L \widetilde{H} E + y_L \overline{L}^{\dagger} H^{\dagger} E \\ \text{SU(3) adjoint fermion} & \text{SU(2) adjoint fermion} & \text{nth vector-like Lepton doublets} \\ \text{Setup: } M_3 = M_2 = M_L & \text{Renormalizable OPs} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} L_{NNMSM} &= L_{SM} + L_{DM} + L_{vR} + L_{Infration} + L_{CC} + \underline{L}_{GCU} \\ L_{SM} \supset -\lambda (|H|^2 - v^2)^2 & \text{introduce } \mathbb{Z}_2 \text{-sym,} \\ L_{DM} &= -m \underbrace{S^2}_{} - k |H|^2 \underbrace{S^2}_{} - \lambda \underbrace{S^4}_{} & \text{introduce } \mathbb{Z}_2 \text{-sym,} \\ dd: S, \lambda_3, \lambda_2 \\ L_{vR} &= -M \overline{N^c} N + y_v L \widetilde{H} \overline{N} \\ L_{Infration} &= -B \varphi^4 \bigg[\ln \bigg(\frac{\varphi^2}{\sigma^2} \bigg) - \frac{1}{2} \bigg] - B \sigma^4 - \mu_1 \varphi |H|^2 - \kappa_H \varphi^2 |H|^2 - \kappa_S \varphi^2 S^2 \\ L_{CC} &= (2.3 \times 10^{-3} eV)^4 & + \text{h.c. + Kinetic terms} \\ L_{GCU} &= M \underbrace{\lambda_3^2}_{} + M \underbrace{\lambda_2^2}_{} + M_{L_i} \overline{L_i} L_i + y_L L \widetilde{H} E + y_L \overline{L}^{\dagger} H^{\dagger} E \\ \text{SU(3) adjoint fermion} & \text{SU(2) adjoint fermion} \\ \text{SU(2) adjoint fermion} & \text{nth vector-like Lepton doublets} \\ \text{Setup: } M_3 = M_2 = M_L \\ \end{array}$$

$$\begin{split} L_{NNMSM} &= L_{SM} + L_{DM} + L_{vR} + L_{Infration} + L_{CC} + \underline{L}_{GCU} \\ L_{SM} \supset -\lambda (|H|^2 - v^2)^2 & \text{introduce } \mathbb{Z}_2 \text{-sym,} \\ L_{DM} &= -m \underbrace{S^2}_{} - k |H|^2 \underbrace{S^2}_{} - \lambda \underbrace{S^4}_{} & \text{odd: } S, \Lambda_3, \Lambda_2 \\ L_{vR} &= -M \overline{N^c} N + y_v L \widetilde{H} \overline{N} & \text{Chaotic} \rightarrow CW \text{-type} \\ L_{Infration} &= -B \varphi^4 \left[\ln \left(\frac{\varphi^2}{\sigma^2} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \right] - B \sigma^4 - \mu_1 \varphi |H|^2 - \kappa_H \varphi^2 |H|^2 - \kappa_S \varphi^2 S^2 \\ L_{CC} &= (2.3 \times 10^{-3} eV)^4 & \text{+h.c. + Kinetic terms} \\ L_{GCU} &= M \underbrace{\lambda_3^2}_{} + M \underbrace{\lambda_2^2}_{} + M_{L_i} \overline{L_i} L_i + y_L L \widetilde{H} E + y_L \overline{L^{\dagger}} H^{\dagger} E \\ \text{SU(3) adjoint fermion} & \text{SU(2) adjoint fermion} & \text{nth vector-like Lepton doublets} \\ \text{Setup: } M_3 \text{=} M_2 \text{=} M_L & \text{Renormalizable OPs} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} L_{NNMSM} &= L_{SM} + L_{DM} + L_{vR} + L_{Infration} + L_{CC} + \underline{L}_{GCU} \\ L_{SM} \supset -\lambda (|H|^2 - v^2)^2 & \text{introduce } \mathbb{Z}_2\text{-sym,} \\ D_{DM} &= -m_s S^2 - k |H|^2 S^2 - \lambda S^4 & \text{odd: } S, \lambda_3, \lambda_2 \\ L_{VR} &= -M N^c N + y_v L \widetilde{H} \widetilde{N} \\ L_{hfration} &= -B \varphi^4 \left[\ln \left(\frac{\varphi^2}{\sigma^2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \right] - B \sigma^4 - \mu_1 \varphi |H|^2 - \kappa_H \varphi^2 |H|^2 - \kappa_S \varphi^2 S^2 \\ L_{CC} &= (2.3 \times 10^{-3} eV)^4 & \text{+h.c. + Kinetic terms} \\ \bigstar L_{GCU} &= M_s \lambda_3^2 + M_z \lambda_2^2 + M_{L_s} \widetilde{L}_i L_i + y_L L \widetilde{H} E + y_L \widetilde{L}^{\dagger} H^{\dagger} E \\ \text{SU(3) adjoint fermion} & \text{SU(2) adjoint fermion} & \text{nth vector-like Lepton doublets} \\ \text{Setup: } M_3 = M_2 = M_L & \text{Renormalizable OPs} \end{split}$$
$$\begin{split} L_{NNMSM} &= L_{SM} + L_{DM} + L_{vR} + L_{Infration} + L_{CC} + L_{GCU} \\ L_{GCU} &= M_3 \lambda_3^2 + M_2 \lambda_2^2 + M_{L_i} \overline{L_i} L_i + y_L L \widetilde{H} E + \overline{y_L} \overline{L}^{\dagger} H^{\dagger} E \end{split}$$

•SU(3) & SU(2) adjoint fermion + $(L+\overline{L})\times n$ (MSSM like)

 $L_{NNMSM} = L_{SM} + L_{DM} + L_{vR} + L_{Infration} + L_{CC} + L_{GCU}$ $L_{GCU} = M_3 \lambda_3^2 + M_2 \lambda_2^2 + M_{L_i} \overline{L_i} L_i + y_L L \widetilde{H} E + \overline{y_L} \overline{L}^{\dagger} H^{\dagger} E$

•SU(3) & SU(2) adjoint fermion + (L+L)×n (MSSM like) •n=1: no GCU





 $L_{NNMSM} = L_{SM} + L_{DM} + L_{vR} + L_{Infration} + L_{CC} + L_{GCU}$ $L_{GCU} = M_3 \lambda_3^2 + M_2 \lambda_2^2 + M_{L_i} \overline{L_i} L_i + y_L L \widetilde{H} E + \overline{y_L} \overline{L}^{\dagger} H^{\dagger} E$

•SU(3) & SU(2) adjoint fermion + $(L+\overline{L})\times n$ (MSSM like)

On=2: good! with <u>10³ TeV $\Lambda_{2,3}$ & L, \overline{L} stable in renormalized OPs</u>

 $L_{NNMSM} = L_{SM} + L_{DM} + L_{vR} + L_{Infration} + L_{CC} + L_{GCU}$ $L_{GCU} = M_3 \lambda_3^2 + M_2 \lambda_2^2 + M_{L_i} \overline{L_i} L_i + y_L L \widetilde{H} E + \overline{y_L} \overline{L}^{\dagger} H^{\dagger} E$

•SU(3) & SU(2) adjoint fermion + $(L+\overline{L})\times n$ (MSSM like)

 \rightarrow let us consider <u>low reheating temperature</u>

 $T_R < 10^3 \text{ TeV}/40 \sim 25 \text{ TeV}$

their productions as particles are very few -> negligible

 $(L, \overline{L} \text{ can also decay to leptons through Yukawa ints.})$

cf.) when N' (light Z₂-odd singlet) is introduced, Λ can be decay through dim6 OP as QAQN'/ Λ^2 but, $\Lambda < 10^{13}$ GeV for decay before BBN (1s), this scale is unwanted additional scale.

Next to New Minimal SM:

$$\begin{split} L_{NNMSM} &= L_{SM} + L_{DM} + L_{vR} + \underline{L_{Infration}} + L_{CC} + L_{GCU} \\ L_{SM} \supset -\lambda (|H|^2 - v^2)^2 \\ L_{DM} &= -m_s^2 S^2 - k |H|^2 S^2 - \lambda_s S^4 \\ L_{vR} &= -M \overline{N^c} N + y_v L \widetilde{H} \overline{N} \\ \bigstar L_{Infration} &= -B \varphi^4 \bigg[\ln \bigg(\frac{\varphi^2}{\sigma^2} \bigg) - \frac{1}{2} \bigg] - B \sigma^4 - \mu_1 \varphi |H|^2 - \kappa_H \varphi^2 |H|^2 - \kappa_S \varphi^2 S^2 \\ L_{CC} &= (2.3 \times 10^{-3} eV)^4 \\ + h.c. + \text{Kinetic terms} \\ L_{GCU} &= M_3 \lambda_3^2 + M_2 \lambda_2^2 + M_{L_i} \overline{L_i} L_i + y_L L \widetilde{H} E + y_L \overline{L}^{\dagger} H^{\dagger} E \end{split}$$

\Rightarrow Inflation sector in NNMSM:

$$L_{NNMSM} = L_{SM} + L_{DM} + L_{vR} + \underline{L_{Infration}} + L_{CC} + \underline{L_{GCU}}$$
$$L_{Infration} = -B\varphi^{4} \left[\ln \left(\frac{\varphi^{2}}{\sigma^{2}} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \right] - B\sigma^{4} - \mu_{1}\varphi |H|^{2} - \kappa_{H}\varphi^{2} |H|^{2} - \kappa_{S}\varphi^{2}S^{2}$$

-consistent with $T_R < 25$ TeV (CW-type inflation model)

•In NMSM, $L_{Inflation}$ =-m² ϕ^2 - $\mu\phi^3$ - $\kappa\phi^4$ (Chaotic Inflation model), (e-folds N~60 is consistent with today's cosmological observations ($\mu \doteq \kappa \doteq 0$))

but, today's cosmological observations is not consistent with $T_R < 25$ TeV (small e-folds), so it is no good.

☆Inflation sector in NNMSM:

 $L_{NNMSM} = L_{SM} + L_{DM} + L_{vR} + L_{Infration} + L_{CC} + L_{GCU}$ $L_{Infration} = -B\varphi^{4} \left[\ln\left(\frac{\varphi^{2}}{\sigma^{2}}\right) - \frac{1}{2} \right] - B\sigma^{4} - \mu_{1}\varphi |H|^{2} - \kappa_{H}\varphi^{2} |H|^{2} - \kappa_{S}\varphi^{2}S^{2}$

Set tiny for slow-row & not affect RGE

consistent with T_R<25 TeV (CW-type inflation model)

•In NMSM, $L_{Inflation}$ =-m² ϕ^2 - $\mu\phi^3$ - $\kappa\phi^4$ (Chaotic Inflation model), (e-folds N~60 is consistent with today's cosmological observations ($\mu \doteq \kappa \doteq 0$))

but, today's cosmological observations is not consistent with $T_R < 25$ TeV (small e-folds), so it is no good.

Next to New Minimal SM:

$$\begin{split} L_{NNMSM} &= L_{SM} + L_{DM} + \underline{L}_{vR} + L_{Infration} + L_{CC} + \underline{L}_{GCU} \\ L_{SM} \supset -\lambda (|H|^2 - v^2)^2 \\ L_{DM} &= -m_s^2 S^2 - k |H|^2 S^2 - \lambda_s S^4 \\ \bigstar \underline{L}_{vR} &= -M \overline{N^c} N + y_v L \widetilde{H} \overline{N} \\ L_{hfration} &= -B \varphi^4 \bigg[\ln \bigg(\frac{\varphi^2}{\sigma^2} \bigg) - \frac{1}{2} \bigg] - B \sigma^4 - \mu_1 \varphi |H|^2 - \kappa_H \varphi^2 |H|^2 - \kappa_S \varphi^2 S^2 \\ L_{CC} &= (2.3 \times 10^{-3} eV)^4 \\ &\qquad + \text{h.c. + Kinetic terms} \\ L_{GCU} &= M_3 \lambda_3^2 + M_2 \lambda_2^2 + M_{L_i} \overline{L_i} L_i + y_L L \widetilde{H} E + y_L \overline{L}^{\dagger} H^{\dagger} E \end{split}$$

-minimal setup is introducing two v_R

 \rightarrow lightest v is massless

-minimal setup is introducing two v_R

 \rightarrow lightest v is massless

•BAU must work under low T_R (< 25 TeV)

 \rightarrow ex). resonant leptogenesis

-minimal setup is introducing two v_R

 \rightarrow lightest v is massless

•BAU must work under low T_R (< 25 TeV)

 \rightarrow ex). resonant leptogenesis

 \rightarrow mass of v_R < 25 TeV

-minimal setup is introducing two v_R

- \rightarrow lightest v is massless
- •BAU must work under low T_R (< 25 TeV)
 - \rightarrow ex). resonant leptogenesis

 \rightarrow mass of v_R < 25 TeV \rightarrow Yv < 10⁻⁵

 \cdot minimal setup is introducing two v_R

 \rightarrow lightest v is massless

- •BAU must work under low T_R (< 25 TeV)
 - \rightarrow ex). resonant leptogenesis

 \rightarrow mass of v_R < 25 TeV \rightarrow Vv < 10⁻⁵ \rightarrow negligible in RGE of λ

Next to New Minimal SM:

$$\begin{split} L_{NNMSM} &= L_{SM} + L_{DM} + L_{vR} + L_{Infration} + L_{CC} + L_{GCU} \\ L_{SM} \supset -\lambda (|H|^2 - v^2)^2 \\ \bigstar L_{DM} &= -m_s^2 S^2 - k |H|^2 S^2 - \lambda_s S^4 \\ L_{vR} &= -M \overline{N^c} N + y_v L \widetilde{H} \overline{N} \\ L_{Infration} &= -B \varphi^4 \bigg[\ln \bigg(\frac{\varphi^2}{\sigma^2} \bigg) - \frac{1}{2} \bigg] - B \sigma^4 - \mu_1 \varphi |H|^2 - \kappa_H \varphi^2 |H|^2 - \kappa_S \varphi^2 S^2 \\ L_{CC} &= (2.3 \times 10^{-3} eV)^4 \\ &\qquad + h.c. + \text{Kinetic terms} \\ L_{GCU} &= M_3 \lambda_3^2 + M_2 \lambda_2^2 + M_{L_i} \overline{L_i} L_i + y_L L \widetilde{H} E + y_L \overline{L}^{\dagger} H^{\dagger} E \end{split}$$

 $\bigstar DM \text{ sector & vacuum in NNMSM:}$ $L_{NNMSM} = L_{SM} + L_{DM} + L_{vR} + L_{Infration} + L_{CC} + L_{GCU}$ $L_{SM} \supset -\lambda(|H|^2 - v^2)^2$ $L_{DM} = -m_s^2 \underline{S}^2 - k |H|^2 \underline{S}^2 - \lambda_s \underline{S}^4 \leftarrow \text{real scalar S is DM } [Z_2 \text{-odd (stable)}]$

$$\bigstar DM \text{ sector } \& \text{ vacuum in NNMSM:}$$

$$L_{NNMSM} = \underline{L_{SM}} + \underline{L_{DM}} + L_{vR} + L_{Infration} + L_{CC} + L_{GCU}$$

$$L_{SM} \supset -\lambda(|H|^2 - v^2)^2$$

$$L_{DM} = -m_s^2 \underline{S}^2 - k |H|^2 \underline{S}^2 - \lambda_s \underline{S}^4 \leftarrow \text{real scalar S is DM [Z_2-odd (stable)]}$$

<u>DM relic density depends on $\lambda \& k \pmod{\Lambda_s}$ </u>



$$\bigstar DM \text{ sector } \& \text{ vacuum in NNMSM:}$$

$$L_{NNMSM} = \underline{L_{SM}} + \underline{L_{DM}} + L_{vR} + L_{Infration} + L_{CC} + L_{GCU}$$

$$L_{SM} \supset -\lambda(|H|^2 - v^2)^2$$

$$L_{DM} = -m_S^2 \underline{S}^2 - k |H|^2 \underline{S}^2 - \lambda_S \underline{S}^4 \leftarrow \text{real scalar S is DM } [Z_2 \text{-odd (stable)}]$$

DM relic density depends on $\Lambda \& k \pmod{\Lambda_s} \& \text{ stability-triviality do } Y_+, [M_t = 173.5 \pm 1.4 \text{ GeV}]$



$$(4\pi)^2 \frac{d\lambda}{dt} = 12\lambda^2 + 12\lambda y^2 - 12y^4 - 3\lambda(g'^2 + 3g^2) + \frac{3}{4} \left[2g^4 + (g'^2 + g^2)^2 \right] + k^2,$$





$$(4\pi)^2 \frac{d\lambda}{dt} = 12\lambda^2 + 12\lambda y^2 - 12y^4 - 3\lambda(g'^2 + 3g^2) + \frac{3}{4} \left[2g^4 + (g'^2 + g^2)^2 \right] + k^2,$$





S

$$(4\pi)^2 \frac{d\lambda}{dt} = \underline{12\lambda^2 + 12\lambda y^2 - 12y^4} - 3\lambda(g'^2 + 3g^2) + \frac{3}{4} \left[2g^4 + (g'^2 + g^2)^2 \right] + k^2,$$

DM relic density depends on $\lambda \& k$ (not Λ_s) & stability-triviality do Y_{t} , [M_t = 173.5 ± 1.4 GeV]





<u>DM relic density depends on $\lambda \& k$ (not Λ_s) & stability-triviality do Y_{+} , [M_t = 173.5 ± 1.4 GeV]</u>



















$$(4\pi)^{2} \frac{d\lambda}{dt} = \underline{12\lambda^{2} + 12\lambda y^{2} - 12y^{4}} - 3\lambda(g'^{2} + 3g^{2}) + \frac{3}{4} \left[2g^{4} + (g'^{2} + g^{2})^{2} \right] + \underline{k^{2}},$$

$$\lim_{small m_{s} \to blow up \to triviality} H^{2} + \underbrace{k^{2} - \frac{5}{k}}_{H^{2}} + \underbrace{k^{2} - \frac{5}{k}} + \underbrace{k^{2} - \frac{5$$

DM relic density depends on $\lambda \& k$ (not Λ_s) & stability-triviality do Y_{+} , [M_t = 173.5 - 1.4 GeV]



$$(4\pi)^{2} \frac{d\lambda}{dt} = \underline{12\lambda^{2} + 12\lambda y^{2} - \underline{12y^{4}} - 3\lambda(g'^{2} + 3g^{2}) + \frac{3}{4} \left[2g^{4} + (g'^{2} + g^{2})^{2} \right] + \underline{k^{2}},$$

$$\lim_{small m_{s} \to blow up \to triviality} H^{2} + \underbrace{k^{2} - \underbrace{k}_{small m_{s} \to blow up \to triviality}_{H_{small m_{s} \to blow up \to triviality}} H^{2} + \underbrace{k^{2} - \underbrace{k}_{small m_{s} \to blow up \to triviality}_{H_{small m_{s} \to blow up \to triviality}} H^{2} + \underbrace{k^{2} - \underbrace{k}_{small m_{s} \to blow up \to triviality}_{H_{small m_{s} \to blow up \to triviality}} H^{2} + \underbrace{k^{2} - \underbrace{k}_{small m_{s} \to blow up \to triviality}_{H_{small m_{s} \to blow up \to triviality}} H^{2} + \underbrace{k^{2} - \underbrace{k}_{small m_{s} \to blow up \to triviality}_{H_{small m_{s} \to blow up \to triviality}} H^{2} + \underbrace{k^{2} - \underbrace{k}_{small m_{s} \to blow up \to triviality}_{H_{small m_{s} \to blow up \to triviality}} H^{2} + \underbrace{k^{2} - \underbrace{k}_{small m_{s} \to blow up \to triviality}_{H_{small m_{s} \to blow up \to triviality}} H^{2} + \underbrace{k^{2} - \underbrace{k}_{small m_{s} \to blow up \to triviality}_{H_{small m_{s} \to blow up \to triviality}} H^{2} + \underbrace{k^{2} - \underbrace{k}_{small m_{s} \to blow up \to triviality}_{H_{small m_{s} \to blow up \to triviality}} H^{2} + \underbrace{k^{2} - \underbrace{k}_{small m_{s} \to blow up \to triviality}_{H_{small m_{s} \to blow up}_{H_{small m_{s} \to blow up \to triviality}_{H_{small m_{s} \to blow up}_{H_{small m_{s} \to blow up}_$$

<u>DM relic density depends on $\lambda \& k$ (not Λ_s)</u> & <u>stability-triviality do Y_{+} , [M_t = 173.5 ± ± ± ± GeV]</u>



$$(4\pi)^{2} \frac{d\lambda}{dt} = \underline{12\lambda^{2} + 12\lambda y^{2} - \underline{12y^{4}} - 3\lambda(g'^{2} + 3g^{2}) + \frac{3}{4} \left[2g^{4} + (g'^{2} + g^{2})^{2} \right] + \underline{k^{2}},$$

$$\lim_{small m_{s} \to blow up \to triviality} H^{1} = -\underline{m_{s}^{2}S^{2} - \underline{k}} H^{1^{2}} S^{2} - \underline{\lambda_{s}} S^{4}$$

$$H^{1} = -\underline{m_{s}^{2}S^{2} - \underline{k}} H^{1^{2}} S^{2} - \underline{\lambda_{s}} S^{4}$$

<u>DM relic density depends on $\lambda \& k$ (not Λ_s)</u> & <u>stability-triviality do Y_{+} , [M_t = 173.5 ± ± ± ± GeV]</u>










$$(4\pi)^{2} \frac{d\lambda}{dt} = \underline{12\lambda^{2} + 12\lambda y^{2} - 12y^{4} - 3\lambda(g'^{2} + 3g^{2}) + \frac{3}{4} \left[2g^{4} + (g'^{2} + g^{2})^{2} \right] + k^{2}},$$

$$\lim_{small m_{s} \to blow up \to triviality} H^{2} = -\underline{m}_{s}^{2}S^{2} - \underline{k} |H|^{2} S^{2} - \underline{\lambda}_{s}S^{4}$$

$$H^{2} = -\underline{m}_{s}^{2}S^{2} - \underline{k} |H|^{2} S^{2} - \underline{\lambda}_{s}S^{4}$$

DM relic density depends on $\Lambda \& k \pmod{\Lambda_s} \& stability-triviality do Y_+, [M_t = 173.5 + 1.4 GeV]$













 \heartsuit An example of RGE running

$$\begin{split} &(4\pi)^2 \frac{d\lambda}{dt} &= \underline{12\lambda^2 + 12\lambda y^2 - 12y^4 - 3\lambda (g'^2 + 3g^2) + \frac{3}{4} \left[2g^4 + (g'^2 + g^2)^2 \right] + k^2, \\ &(4\pi)^2 \frac{dk}{dt} &= \underline{k} \left[4k + 6\lambda + \lambda_S + 6y^2 - \frac{3}{2} (g'^2 + 3g^2) \right], \\ &(4\pi)^2 \frac{d\lambda_S}{dt} &= \underline{3\lambda_S^2 + 12k^2}. \end{split}$$



$$L_{DM} = -m_{S}^{2}S^{2} - k |H|^{2} S^{2} - \lambda_{S}S^{4}$$

 \heartsuit An example of RGE running



§3 summary



still no evidence of BSM, but problems exist in SM

(rg

win

win

alter of

w

still no evidence of BSM, but problems exist in SM

 \rightarrow new minimal SM (DM, inflation, v mass) [SM + S(DM) + φ (Inf) + $v_R \times 2$]

still no evidence of BSM, but problems exist in SM

 \rightarrow new minimal SM (DM, inflation, v mass) [SM + S(DM) + φ (Inf) + $v_R \times 2$]

 \rightarrow next to NMSM (+GCU) [NMSM + $\Lambda_{2,3}$ + (L+L) ×2]

- still no evidence of BSM, but problems exist in SM
 - \rightarrow new minimal SM (DM, inflation, v mass) [SM + S(DM) + φ (Inf) + $v_R \times 2$]
 - \rightarrow next to NMSM (+GCU) [NMSM + $\Lambda_{2,3}$ + (L+L) ×2]
- NNMSM

- still no evidence of BSM, but problems exist in SM
 - \rightarrow new minimal SM (DM, inflation, v mass) [SM + S(DM) + φ (Inf) + $v_R \times 2$]
 - \rightarrow next to NMSM (+GCU) [NMSM + $\Lambda_{2,3}$ + (L+L) ×2]
- NNMSM
 - proton decay (p-> $\pi^0 e^+$) will be observed at HK.

- still no evidence of BSM, but problems exist in SM
 - \rightarrow new minimal SM (DM, inflation, v mass) [SM + S(DM) + ϕ (Inf) + $v_R \times 2$]
 - \rightarrow next to NMSM (+GCU) [NMSM + $\Lambda_{2,3}$ + (L+L) ×2]
- · NNMSM
 - proton decay (p-> $\pi^0 e^+$) will be observed at HK.
 - low T_R (< 25 TeV) \rightarrow low energy baryogenesis (ex. resonant leptogenesis)

- still no evidence of BSM, but problems exist in SM
 - \rightarrow new minimal SM (DM, inflation, v mass) [SM + S(DM) + ϕ (Inf) + $v_R \times 2$]
 - \rightarrow next to NMSM (+GCU) [NMSM + $\Lambda_{2,3}$ + (L+L) ×2]
- · NNMSM
 - proton decay (p-> π^0e^+) will be observed at HK.
 - low T_R (< 25 TeV) \rightarrow low energy baryogenesis (ex. resonant leptogenesis)
 - consistent with DM relic density & $\lambda^\prime s$ stability and non triviality conditions

- still no evidence of BSM, but problems exist in SM
 - \rightarrow new minimal SM (DM, inflation, v mass) [SM + S(DM) + φ (Inf) + $v_R \times 2$]
 - \rightarrow next to NMSM (+GCU) [NMSM + $\Lambda_{2,3}$ + (L+L) ×2]
- NNMSM
 - proton decay (p-> $\pi^0 e^+$) will be observed at HK.
 - low T_R (< 25 TeV) \rightarrow low energy baryogenesis (ex. resonant leptogenesis)
 - consistent with DM relic density & $\lambda^\prime s$ stability and non triviality conditions



- still no evidence of BSM, but problems exist in SM
 - \rightarrow new minimal SM (DM, inflation, v mass) [SM + S(DM) + φ (Inf) + $v_R \times 2$]
 - \rightarrow next to NMSM (+GCU) [NMSM + $\Lambda_{2,3}$ + (L+L) ×2]
- · NNMSM
 - proton decay (p-> $\pi^0 e^+$) will be observed at HK.
 - low T_R (< 25 TeV) \rightarrow low energy baryogenesis (ex. resonant leptogenesis)
 - consistent with DM relic density & $\lambda^\prime s$ stability and non triviality conditions



- still no evidence of BSM, but problems exist in SM
 - \rightarrow new minimal SM (DM, inflation, v mass) [SM + S(DM) + φ (Inf) + $v_R \times 2$]
 - \rightarrow next to NMSM (+GCU) [NMSM + $\Lambda_{2,3}$ + (L+L) ×2]
- NNMSM
 - proton decay (p-> $\pi^0 e^+$) will be observed at HK.
 - low T_R (< 25 TeV) \rightarrow low energy baryogenesis (ex. resonant leptogenesis)
 - consistent with DM relic density & $\lambda^\prime s$ stability and non triviality conditions



- still no evidence of BSM, but problems exist in SM
 - \rightarrow new minimal SM (DM, inflation, v mass) [SM + S(DM) + φ (Inf) + $v_R \times 2$]
 - \rightarrow next to NMSM (+GCU) [NMSM + $\Lambda_{2,3}$ + (L+L) ×2]
- NNMSM
 - proton decay (p-> $\pi^0 e^+$) will be observed at HK.
 - low T_R (< 25 TeV) \rightarrow low energy baryogenesis (ex. resonant leptogenesis)
 - consistent with DM relic density & $\lambda^\prime s$ stability and non triviality conditions



- still no evidence of BSM, but problems exist in SM
 - \rightarrow new minimal SM (DM, inflation, v mass) [SM + S(DM) + ϕ (Inf) + $v_R \times 2$]
 - \rightarrow next to NMSM (+GCU) [NMSM + $\Lambda_{2,3}$ + (L+L) ×2]
- NNMSM
 - proton decay (p-> $\pi^0 e^+$) will be observed at HK.
 - low T_R (< 25 TeV) \rightarrow low energy baryogenesis (ex. resonant leptogenesis)
 - consistent with DM relic density & $\lambda^\prime s$ stability and non triviality conditions



discussions

- → other setup scenarios (R. Takahashi's talk yesterday) [NNMSM-II]
 - $M_3 \neq M_2$ & no need of $(L + \overline{L}) \times 2$

discussions

- → other setup scenarios (R. Takahashi's talk yesterday) [NNMSM-II]
 - $M_3 \neq M_2$ & no need of $(L + \overline{L}) \times 2$



discussions



♡ DOF	
• NMSM: DM, inflation, v mass	
$SM + S(1) + \phi(1) + v_R \times 2(2 \times 2)$	(+6)
• NNMSM: + GCU	
$\int \cdot \text{NNMSM-I: NMSM} + \Lambda_3 (8 \times 2) + \Lambda_2 (3 \times 2) + [L,L] \times 2 (2 \times 2 \times 2 \times 2)$	(+38)
- NNMSM-II: NMSM + λ_3 (8×2) + λ_2 (3×2)	(+22)
• NNMSM-TTT: NMSM + λ_{2} (8×2) + λ_{2} (3×2×2) - v_{2} (2×2)	(+24)

DOF: SM < NMSM < NNMSM-II < NNMSM-III < NNMSM-I





(+24)

• NNMSM-III: NMSM + λ_3 (8×2) + λ_2 (3×2×2) - v_R (2×2)



\heartsuit NNMSM-IIa (M_{3i}=M_{2j}) other non-minimum setup possibilities

N_{λ_3}	N_{λ_2}	M_{NP} [GeV]	$\Lambda_{ m GCU}$ [10 ¹⁵ GeV]	$lpha_{ m GCU}^{-1}$	τ [10 ³³ years]	
1	3*	2.21×10^{11}	1.41	39.3	$< 8.2 \times 10^{33}$ years	
2	$1,2^{\dagger}$	-	-	-	-	
	3	5.80×10^{9}	99.2	36.4	$2.88^{+3.06}_{-1.19} \times 10^{7}$	
	4	1.09×10^{12}	5.20	38.3	$1.89^{+2.00}_{-0.78} \times 10^2$	
	$\geq 5^*$	$\geq 6.47 \times 10^{12}$	≤ 1.90	≥ 39.0	$< 8.2 \times 10^{33}$ years	
3	1-3†	-	-	-	-	
	4 [‡]	1.33×10^{11}	$> M_{\rm pl}$	34.6	$> O(10^{35})$ years	
	5,6	$(0.52 - 1.83) \times 10^{13}$	(5.20 - 23.0)	(37.3 - 38.3)	$> O(10^{35})$ years	
	7	3.47×10^{13}	2.45	38.8	$8.94\substack{+9.49\\-3.68}$	
	$\geq 8^*$	$\geq 5.11 \times 10^{13}$	≤ 1.55	≥ 39.1	$< 8.2 \times 10^{33}$ years	
4	1-4*	-	-	-	-	
	5 [‡]	2.74×10^{12}	$> M_{ m pl}$	32.8	$> \mathcal{O}(10^{35})$ years	
	6-8	$(2.39 - 7.51) \times 10^{13}$	(5.20 - 99.2)	(36.4 - 38.3)	$> \mathcal{O}(10^{35})$ years	
	9	9.49×10^{13}	2.85	38.7	$16.4^{+17.4}_{-6.75}$	
	$\geq 10^{\dagger}$	$\geq 1.11 \times 10^{14}$	≤ 1.90	≥ 39.0	$< 8.2 \times 10^{33}$ years	
5	1-5*	-	-	-	-	
	6 [‡]	5.11×10^{12}	$> M_{\rm pl}$	31.0	$> \mathcal{O}(10^{35})$ years	
	7-10	$(1.75 - 7.51) \times 10^{14}$	(5.20 - 417)	(35.5 - 38.3)	$> \mathcal{O}(10^{35})$ years	
	11	1.85×10^{14}	3.15	38.7	$24.6^{+20.1}_{-10.1}$	
	12	1.93×10^{14}	2.20	38.9	$5.76^{+6.61}_{-2.37}$	
	$\geq 13^{\dagger}$	$\geq 1.99 \times 10^{14}$	≤ 1.68	≥ 39.1	$< 8.2 \times 10^{33}$ years	
6	1-6*	-	-	-	-	
	7,8‡	$(4.76 - 8.67) \times 10^{14}$	$> M_{\rm pl}$	(29.3-34.6)	$> \mathcal{O}(10^{35})$ years	
	9-12	$(3.09 - 3.85) \times 10^{14}$	(5.20 - 99.2)	(36.4 - 38.3)	$> \mathcal{O}(10^{35})$ years	
	13	2.99×10^{14}	3.39	38.6	$32.8^{+34.9}_{-13.5}$	
	14	2.92×10^{14}	2.45	38.8	8.90+3.66	
	$\geq 15^{\dagger}$	$\geq 2.86 \times 10^{14}$	≤ 1.90	≥ 39.0	$< 8.2 \times 10^{33}$ years	
7	1-7*	-	-	-	-	
	8,9‡	$(0.204 - 1.34) \times 10^{16}$	$> M_{\rm pl}$	(27.7 - 33.7)	$> \mathcal{O}(10^{35})$ years	
	10-14	$(0.462 - 1.04) \times 10^{15}$	(5.20 - 259)	(35.8 - 38.3)	$> \mathcal{O}(10^{35})$ years	
	15	4.27×10^{14}	3.57	38.6	$40.8^{+43.3}_{-16.8}$	
	16	4.02×10^{14}	2.66	38.8	$12.5^{+13.5}_{-5.14}$	
	17	3.83×10^{14}	2.10	38.9	$4.83^{+0.13}_{-1.99}$	
	$\geq 18^{\dagger}$	$\leq 3.68 \times 10^{14}$	≤ 1.74	≥ 39.1	$< 8.2 \times 10^{33}$ years	



+ : realize GCU above MP

* : ruled out by p-decay

+ : not realize GCU

\heartsuit NNMSM-IIb (M_{3i} ≠ M_{2j}) other non-minimum setup possibilities

N(M)	N(M)	A [10 ¹⁵ C ₀ V]	a ⁻¹	
$\frac{N_{\lambda_3}(M_{3,i})}{1}$	$IV_{\lambda_2}(M_{2,i})$	AGCU [10 ⁻⁺ GeV]	$\alpha_{\rm GCU}$	
$1 (M_3 \lesssim 4 \times 10^9 \text{ GeV})$	$3 (M_{2,i} \lesssim 1.08 \times 10^{11} \text{ GeV})$	2.77	38.8	
	$4 (M_{2,i} \lesssim 1.36 \times 10^{12} \text{ GeV})$			
	$5 (M_{2,i} \lesssim 6.26 \times 10^{12} \text{ GeV})$			
	$6 (M_{2,i} \lesssim 1.73 \times 10^{13} \text{ GeV})$			
	$7 (M_{2,i} \lesssim 3.57 \times 10^{13} \text{ GeV})$			
	$8 (M_{2,i} \lesssim 6.14 \times 10^{13} \text{ GeV})$			
0/14 < 0 = 1012 (1 17)	$9 (M_{2,i} \lesssim 9.39 \times 10^{15} \text{ GeV})$	0.01	00.7	
$2 (M_{3,i} \lesssim 3 \times 10^{12} \text{ GeV})$	$1^{*} (M_{2,i} \lesssim 126 \text{ GeV})$	2.91	38.7	
	$2(M_{2,i} \lesssim 6.08 \times 10^{\circ} \text{ GeV})$			
	$3 (M_{2,i} \gtrsim 1.03 \times 10^{11} \text{ GeV})$			
	$4 (M_{2,i} \gtrsim 1.34 \times 10^{12} \text{ GeV})$			
	$5 (M_{2,i} \gtrsim 6.22 \times 10^{12} \text{ GeV})$			
	$0 (M_{2,i} \gtrsim 1.73 \times 10^{13} \text{ GeV})$			
	$T(M_{2,i} \gtrsim 3.01 \times 10^{10} \text{ GeV})$			
	$8 (M_{2,i} \gtrsim 0.20 \times 10^{-6} \text{ GeV})$			
$2(M_{\odot} \leq 2 \times 10^{13} \text{ CeV})$	$9(M_{2,i} \gtrsim 9.00 \times 10^{-6} \text{GeV})$			
$3(123,i) \gtrsim 3 \times 10^{-1} \text{GeV}$	$1 (M_{2,i} \gtrsim 124 \text{ GeV})$ $2 (M_{\odot} \le 6.05 \times 10^8 \text{ CeV})$			
	$2 (M_{2,i} \gtrsim 0.05 \times 10^{-10} \text{ GeV})$ $3 (M_{2,i} \le 1.03 \times 10^{11} \text{ GeV})$			
	$4 (M_{2,i} \lesssim 1.03 \times 10^{-12} \text{ GeV})$			
	$5 (M_{2,i} \lesssim 6.22 \times 10^{12} \text{ GeV})$.
	$6 (M_{2,i} \gtrsim 0.22 \times 10^{-3} \text{ GeV})$			* : ruled out by collider direc
	$7 (M_{2,i} \leq 3.62 \times 10^{13} \text{ GeV})$			formion experiments
	$\frac{1}{8} (M_{2,i} \leq 6.27 \times 10^{13} \text{ GeV})$			rermon experiments
	$9 (M_{2,i} \leq 9.61 \times 10^{13} \text{ GeV})$			
$4 (M_{3,i} \le 9 \times 10^{13} \text{ GeV})$	1^* ($M_{2,i} \leq 78.3 \text{ GeV}$)	3.27	38.6	- 7
(), ~	$2 (M_{2i} \leq 5.06 \times 10^8 \text{ GeV})$			50 9
	$3 (M_{2i} \lesssim 9.42 \times 10^{10} \text{ GeV})$			LAXAL RA
	$4 (M_{2,i} \lesssim 1.28 \times 10^{12} \text{ GeV})$			
	$5 (M_{2,i} \lesssim 6.16 \times 10^{12} \text{ GeV})$			A AN AN AREAS
	$6 (M_{2,i} \lesssim 1.75 \times 10^{13} \text{ GeV})$			and the second
	$7 (M_{2,i} \lesssim 3.70 \times 10^{13} \text{ GeV})$			Can Bernand
	$8 \ (M_{2,i} \lesssim 6.48 \times 10^{13} \text{ GeV})$			A CHEEK CHEEK HAR
	$9 \ (M_{2,i} \lesssim 1.00 \times 10^{14} \text{ GeV})$			STRATE GAR